

Judging score sheet

DigiCollab Hackathon Guide · Template

Event: _____

Judge name: _____

Team name / number: _____

Challenge theme: _____

Date: _____

Instructions

Score each criterion independently on a scale of 1–10. Do not discuss scores with other judges until all sheets are collected. Multiply your score by the weight shown to get the weighted total for each criterion. Your final score for this team is the sum of all weighted totals (maximum: 100 points).

Innovation & creativity (score x2 = max 20 pts)

Originality of approach, novel problem-solving, fresh perspective

1–2 Little original thinking; conventional approach	3–4 Some novel elements; mostly predictable	5–6 Recognisable approach with genuine original elements	7–8 Creative, well-justified; goes beyond the obvious	9–10 Genuinely original; reframes problem or solution space
---	---	--	---	---

Notes / observations: _____

My score (1–10):

____ x2 = ____
pts

Feasibility & implementation (score x2 = max 20 pts)

Technical soundness, realistic plan, achievable within constraints

1–2 Unrealistic or technically unsound; no credible path	3–4 Partially feasible; significant barriers unaddressed	5–6 Achievable; main constraints identified	7–8 Well-grounded; resources and timeline credible	9–10 Highly feasible; evidence of depth and clear roadmap
--	--	---	--	---

Notes / observations: _____

My score (1–10):

____ x2 = ____
pts

Impact & value (score x2 = max 20 pts)

Clear user benefit, addresses genuine need, scalability potential

1–2 No clear user benefit; impact undefined	3–4 Benefit stated but vague; limited evidence of real need	5–6 Addresses a genuine need; benefit understandable	7–8 Clear measurable benefit; some scalability evidence	9–10 Compelling impact case; strong real-world applicability
---	---	--	---	--

Notes / observations: _____

My score (1–10):

____ x2 = ____
pts

Theme alignment (score x1.5 = max 15 pts) Relevance and depth of engagement with the challenge theme				
1-2 Superficial or weak connection to theme	3-4 Related but lacks depth of engagement	5-6 Solid connection; demonstrates understanding	7-8 Strong thematic integration; genuine domain knowledge	9-10 Exemplary; deepens theme in a meaningful, authentic way
Notes / observations: _____			My score (1-10): _____ x1.5 = _____ pts	

Presentation quality (score x1.5 = max 15 pts) Clarity of communication, quality of demonstration, delivery				
1-2 Unclear, hard to follow; significant delivery problems	3-4 Some clarity but key points missed or poorly demonstrated	5-6 Understandable and reasonably well structured	7-8 Clear, engaging, effectively demonstrated	9-10 Compelling, polished; demonstration is persuasive
Notes / observations: _____			My score (1-10): _____ x1.5 = _____ pts	

Teamwork & learning (score x1 = max 10 pts) Evidence of collaboration, iteration and reflection on process				
1-2 No evidence of collaboration or learning process	3-4 Limited; one person clearly dominated	5-6 Some evidence of shared work and reflection	7-8 Clear collaboration; learning journey visible	9-10 Excellent iteration, shared ownership, meaningful reflection
Notes / observations: _____			My score (1-10): _____ x1 = _____ pts	

Overall comments for this team: One strength: _____ One area to develop: _____	TOTAL SCORE (max 100 points) _____
---	---

Declaration of impartiality
 By signing below, I confirm that: I have no kinship or business relationship with any participating team; I have scored independently without discussing my scores with other judges; I am not aware of any conflict of interest that would affect my impartiality. If I discover a conflict of interest, I will notify the lead organiser immediately.
 Signature: _____ Date: _____